

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,212	C	03/23/2004	Jae-ryong Park	1572.1202	1739
21171	7590	09/26/2006	•	EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSEY	LLP	ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW	YORK AV	ENUE, N.W.	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				1761 DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ _~				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/806,212	PARK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Reginald L. Alexander	1761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the state of	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	ugust 2006.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-21 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion Noved in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) De r No(s)/Mail Date 7/06.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 and 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant recites a "bread maker" but fails to disclose any structure which would allow the device to make or bake bread. There is no heating means or bread dough preparation means disclosed in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedenberg '850.

There is disclosed in Hedenberg a bread maker, comprising: a frame (outer housing) to form an oven compartment; a kneading drum 88; an oven 81, 82 including a rear part and a side part accommodated in the oven compartment; and an insulation 15 provided between the frame and the oven (see fig. 15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balaguer in view of Oslin.

There is disclosed in Balauer a cooking device, comprising: a main body 32, 34, 36, 38 forming an oven compartment; an oven 22, 24, 26, 28 including a rear part 26 and a side part 22, 24 accommodated in the oven compartment of the main body; a space provided between the main body and the oven; and an insulation member 40 interposed in the space.

Oslin discloses that it is known in the art to having a spacing part between an oven and a main body, the spacing part being protruding members (bracket) 212 formed with an apparent screw hole to accommodate a screw (see figures 3, 4, 7 and 8) passing therethrough and connecting the main body and oven and creating a space which is filled by insulation 34. The protruding members being located at the side, rear and door of the oven.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Balaguer with spacing members as taught in Oslin, in order to provide structural support and a rigid connection between the oven and oven compartment.

Art Unit: 1761

The location and protruding direction of the spacing members is an obvious matter of design choice, since the structural support can be made at all locations of the device, front, back or sides and the direction at which they protrude has no bearing on the overall function of the spacers.

Claims 5-7 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balaguer in view of Sakamoto.

Sakamoto discloses that it is known in the art to use brackets as a spacing member between an oven and oven main body.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Balaguer with the spacing members taught in Sakamoto, in order to provide structural support and a rigid connection between the oven and oven compartment.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/806,212

Art Unit: 1761

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-

272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Reginald L. Alexander

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

rla

19 September 2006